Noncommunity Public Water Supply Assessment Report For

ADA EAST - WEST BUILDING

WSSN: 2076441

Source ID: 1

| What is SWAS? | WSSN: 2076441 Source ID: 1 |
|---|--|
| The Source Water Assessment Score (SWAS) is a process that factors geologic and water well attributes, water chemistry, and the potential contaminant sources for each drinking water source into a ranking system to determine the relative potential for contamination. Generally, sources with lower scores are considered to be less susceptible to contamination than sources | County: KENT |
| | Contact |
| | Name: ADA EAST BUSINESS CTR |
| with higher scores. However, exceptions do exist. This assessment is required by the | Address: 1855 S KNORR |
| Michigan Source Water Assessment Program (SWAP) under the provisions of the 1996 amendments to the Federal Safe Drinking Water Act. | City: ADA |
| | State/Zip: MI 49682 |
| | |
| Well Log and Location | Well Log Available: Y |
| A well log is a legal document describing the well location, construction, depth, soil formations penetrated, and capacity. Drilling contractors have been required to complete a well log and submit it to the owner, local health department, and State since 1967. The lack of information from a well log may increase the SWAS. Wellogic is an electronic database for well log information. | Entered in Wellogic: N Wellogic ID Number: |
| Coologia Sanaitivity | Geologic Sensitivity - SWAS(G) |
| Geologic Sensitivity | Geologic Sensitivity - SWAS(G) |
| This score represents the degree of natural protection afforded by the materials overlying the water- bearing formation. Lower scores indicate more protection. Points are deducted based on the thickness and type of geologic material that overlies the source of water. Surface contaminants migrate downward at varying rates dependent upon geological material and thickness. CCM stands for Continuous Confining Material (eg. clay). CPCM stands for Continuous Partially Confining Material (eg. mix of sand | CCM Points Deducted: 6 |
| | CPCM Points Deducted: 0 |
| | |
| | Total SWAS(G) Points: 24 |
| and clay). More points are deducted for a thick clay layer than a thick sand layer or a thinner clay layer. Point Range 0-30. | Total SWAS(G) Points:24Geologic Sensitivity Rating:Moderate |
| and clay). More points are deducted for a thick clay layer than a thick sand layer or a thinner clay layer. | |
| and clay). More points are deducted for a thick clay layer than a thick sand layer or a thinner clay layer. Point Range 0-30. Well Construction Points are added when a well lacks features that help protect the water supply from contamination. | Geologic Sensitivity Rating: Moderate |
| and clay). More points are deducted for a thick clay layer than a thick sand layer or a thinner clay layer. Point Range 0-30. Well Construction Points are added when a well lacks features that help protect the water supply from contamination. These include whether the well was grouted (sealing the annulus that is created between the casing | Geologic Sensitivity Rating: Moderate Well Construction - SWAS(W) |
| and clay). More points are deducted for a thick clay layer than a thick sand layer or a thinner clay layer. Point Range 0-30. Well Construction Points are added when a well lacks features that help protect the water supply from contamination. These include whether the well was grouted (sealing the annulus that is created between the casing and the soil formations during construction), the well age, how deep the casing extends into the ground, and how much water the well pumps, since larger volumes can pull contaminants from greater | Geologic Sensitivity Rating: Moderate Well Construction - SWAS(W) Well Grouting Points: 5 Well Age Points: 5 Casing Depth Points: 10 |
| and clay). More points are deducted for a thick clay layer than a thick sand layer or a thinner clay layer. Point Range 0-30. Well Construction Points are added when a well lacks features that help protect the water supply from contamination. These include whether the well was grouted (sealing the annulus that is created between the casing and the soil formations during construction), the well age, how deep the casing extends into the | Geologic Sensitivity Rating: Moderate Well Construction - SWAS(W) Well Grouting Points: 5 Well Age Points: 5 |

Source Water Assessment for: ADA EAST - WEST BUILDING

| Water Chemistry and Isotope Data Points are added if water sample results indicate detectable levels of nitrates or nitrites, volatile organic chemicals (solvents, fuel components), and/or synthetic organic chemicals (pesticides or herbicides). Tritium monitoring is included as a voluntary means of age-dating water. Generally, the older the water, the more protected the source. Point Range 0-50. (50 points = MCL violation) Susceptibility is Very High if contaminants exceed the Maximum Contaminant Level (MCL). | Water Chemistry and Isotope Data - SWAS(C) Nitrates and Nitrites: 0 SOC.VOC: 0 Tritium Results: 0 Total SWAS(C) Points: 0 |
|---|---|
| Isolation from Sources of Contamination Points are added based on the number and type of potential contaminant sources within the isolation distance (75 ft. from standard or 800 ft. from major contaminant sources). Examples of standard sources are septic tanks, sewer lines, and storm drains. Examples of major sources are chemical and fuel storage, landfills, lagoons, and known plumes of groundwater contamination. | Isolation from Contamination - SWAS(S)Major Sources from 75 - 800 ft: $0 \times 10 = 0$ Major Sources within 75 ft: $0 \times 20 = 0$ Standard Sources within 75 ft: $0 \times 10 = 0$ Known Sources within 800 ft: $0 \times 25 = 0$ Total SWAS(S) Points:0 |
| Source Water Assessment Score (SWAS) The total SWAS is factored with the Geologic Sensitivity to determine the overall susceptibility to contamination. | Source Water Assessment Score - SWAS $\underline{24}$ + $\underline{20}$ + $\underline{0}$ = $\underline{44}$ SWAS(G)SWAS(W)SWAS(C)SWAS(S)SWAS |
| Susceptibility Determination Susceptibility is a means to identify the relative potential of contamination for public water supply sources. | Susceptibility Determination Based on the above compilation of source geology, well construction, water chemistry, and potential contaminant sources, this public drinking water supply is determined to have a Susceptibility Rating of: Moderate |